

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/711,261	11/10/2000	John DeMayo	2580-019	6688
22852	7590 11/30/2004		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			CHAMPAGNE, DONALD	
LLP 1300 I STRE	EET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2121	
	•		DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

			١ ٨ ـ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/711,261	DEMAYO ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
	Donald L. Champagne	2121	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 November 2004 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment whit peal (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR I	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	rthan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of the	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action: or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).	nt's Brief must be filed within the p CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	period set forth in of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) 🗌 they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE:			
$3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ıld be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ replace the application in condition for allowing the affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	owance because: See Continuation	Sheet.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims	ent(s) a) will not be entered or b would be rejected is provided belo) will be entered ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow		• •	
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-30.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: ____

Advisory Aetton

DONALD L. CHAMPAGNE

8. The drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Part of Paper No. 20041120

onald L. Champagne Pimary Examiner Art Unit: 2121 Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request fails to "point out the supposed errors in the examiner's action" (37 CFR 1.111(b)). The request points out where applicant disagrees with the final rejection, but that does not constitute a showing of error. If applicant chooses to argue the points further with the examiner, than an RCE is appropriate.

Alternatively, applicant may appeal to the Board.